

MANAGEMENT OF ANAEMIA AND AVOIDANCE OF TRANSFUSION

A Regional Audit by the Northern Ireland Regional Transfusion Committee

Feburary 2010

CONTENTS

Overview	3
Introduction	5
Project Methodology	6
Audit Design	8
Results and recommendations	
Demographic details	10
Could transfusion have been avoided?	13
Inappropriate transfusion	14
Anaemia not identified before hospital admission	16
Anaemia identified but not investigated or treated before hospital admission	18
Anaemia identified and investigated but not treated	20
Areas for practice improvement	21
Over-transfusion	22
Referral to a specialist for investigation of anaemia	24

Discussion	26
Action plan	28
References	30
Appendix 1	32
Appendix 2	40
Acknowledgements	41

OVERVIEW

Blood transfusion is regarded as very safe in the developed world and its appropriate and timely administration may decrease morbidity in some patients and indeed be life saving in others. However blood is a human derived product and its administration to another carries a number of potential risks. It is generally accepted that blood transfusion should be avoided when a patient does not need it or when their anaemia can be treated by other means. This audit builds on the 2006 Northern Ireland Regional Transfusion Committee's (NIRTC) Audit of the Appropriateness of Transfusion which identified that 85% of transfused patients were anaemic on admission to hospital.

This audit looks in detail at a large population of transfused adult patients in 14 hospitals across Northern Ireland who were identified as being anaemic on admission to hospital. Clinical notes were examined to ascertain if an opportunity existed for haematological optimisation in the period that the patient was known to healthcare professionals in the 6 months before transfusion. Similar to the 2006 Audit the transfusion episode of each patient was examined and determined to be appropriate or not. Finally the clinical notes were examined to ascertain the cause of the presenting anaemia and whether there had been appropriate follow up of the underlying causative conditions.

The audit report concludes that healthcare professionals had an opportunity in approximately one in four patients studied to avoid transfusion. This could have been achieved by proactive correction of anaemia or avoidance of inappropriate transfusion. Iron deficiency was the most common cause of correctable anaemia on hospital admission and in many cases there was little attempt to treat it. Patients with low grade chronic bleeding and malabsorption were identified to be particularly at risk of iron deficiency anaemia.

On a more positive note there appears to have been a considerable improvement in clinical practice since the 2006 Audit with a reduction in inappropriate transfusion from 19% to 6.7% and a reduction in overtransfusion from 29% to 16%.

This report recommends that healthcare professionals in both primary and secondary care need to be more proactive in their identification and management of anaemia to prevent avoidable transfusion. At risk groups for iron deficiency are highlighted and similar to the 2006 Audit it is recommended that all transfusions should be appropriate and overtransfusion should be avoided.

INTRODUCTION

The NIRTC 2006 Regional Audit was a hospital based audit of the appropriateness of transfusion which identified that almost one in five transfusions could have been avoided and 29% of patients were overtransfused. This audit process led to a significant improvement in transfusion practice across Northern Ireland, as evidenced by a 15% decrease in the number of red cell units transfused from 2005 to 2008.

The 2006 Audit also identified that over 85% of transfused patients were found to be anaemic on hospital admission, as defined by the World Health Organisation:



Haemoglobin on admission to hospital (2006 Audit)

The 2006 Audit was not designed to examine whether anaemia was diagnosed, investigated or treated correctly prior to transfusion.

Consequently an application was made on behalf of the Northern Ireland Regional Transfusion Committee to the Regional Multiprofessional Audit Group *(now the Guidelines and Audit Implementation Network - GAIN)* to fund a major regional audit project to assess the current management of anaemia. This was granted.

PROJECT METHODOLOGY

The Regional Transfusion Committee formed a Lead Audit Group for this project. The 11 Transfusion Chairs within Northern Ireland were invited to be part of this group or to nominate a representative. Professor Mike Murphy - Professor of Transfusion Medicine, Oxford joined the group as an external expert to give advice and guidance at key stages of the audit. Additional skilled members were co-opted onto the group for guidance. The Lead Audit Group consisted of a multiprofessional group of medical specialities and transfusion related disciplines.

The Lead Audit Group identified a number of key standards for the audit which were relevant to a wide range of healthcare professionals:

• Anaemia should be identified when first clinically suspected from suggestive signs or symptoms of anaemia

World Health Organisation – Worldwide Prevalence of Anaemia and NIRTC Lead Audit Group consensus

• Once anaemia is identified, it should be investigated appropriately by blood tests and other investigations to determine the cause of the anaemia

British Society Gastroenterology – Guidelines for the management of iron deficient anaemia and NIRTC Lead Audit Group consensus

• If an underlying deficiency is identified (e.g. iron deficiency), appropriate oral replacement therapy should be given

NIRTC Regional Appropriateness of Blood Transfusion 2006 Audit and British Society Gastroenterology – Guidelines for the management of iron deficient anaemia

• If an iron deficient patient is intolerant of or unable to absorb oral iron therapy, parenteral therapy should be used

Handbook of Transfusion Medicine and British Society Gastroenterology – Guidelines for the management of iron deficient anaemia



• Patients should only be transfused as per regional guidelines

NIRTC Regional Appropriateness of Blood Transfusion 2006 Audit Standards and Guidelines and Audit Implementation Network - Better Use Of Blood In Northern Ireland

• Patients should not be transfused more than 2.0g/dl above their transfusion threshold

NIRTC Regional Appropriateness of Blood Transfusion 2006 Audit Standards and Guidelines and Audit Implementation Network - Better Use Of Blood In Northern Ireland

• Patients requiring transfusion should be referred to a specialist for investigation unless it is considered inappropriate to do so

British Society Gastroenterology – Guidelines for the management of iron deficient anaemia and NIRTC Lead Audit Group consensus

AUDIT DESIGN

Transfused patients in 2007 who were anaemic, as defined by the World Health Organisation* on admission to hospital were identified. These patients had their clinical notes examined retrospectively to determine if there was an opportunity to correct anaemia prior to transfusion

Exclusions

- Children under 16 years.
- Repeated transfusion episodes in the same patient

Audit sampling

The overall numbers of clinical notes to be examined in detail in each hospital was as follows:

- 120 case notes in the largest (>9000 transfused units per year) user hospitals,
- 60 case notes in the 4 intermediate (4000-7000 transfused units per year) user hospitals and
- 40 case notes in the 8 smaller (500-2000 transfused units per year) user hospitals.

All together 800 sets of clinical notes were to be examined with 40 sets considered the minimum number to be audited to provide meaningful local data. This led to a bias of auditing a higher proportion of patients transfused in the smaller hospitals. Consecutive notes were to be audited from the beginning of 2007 forward until the quota was reached. Every hospital had its own Local Hospital Co-ordinator who was the Transfusion Chair or their nominated representative.

* Defined as male patients with a haemoglobin of <13g/dl, female patients <12g/dl and pregnant females lower that 11g/dl

Data collectors and training

The data collectors for each hospital were recruited locally on the advice of each Transfusion Chair and came from many varied professional backgrounds. They included staff from haematology, medicine, anaesthesia, haemovigilance, laboratories and nursing. A full training day was attended by the data collectors so that they could:

- Understand the objectives of the audit
- Read the data form and ask questions
- Evaluate the pilot form on selected sets of casenotes
- Suggest changes to the audit form
- Be provided with feedback on their data extraction and interpretation of data.

Audit form

Extensive consultation, trial and review of the audit proforma was undertaken before the final version was accepted (see Appendix 1 for audit proforma).

Instruction manual

An instruction manual was sent to all data collectors to aid the selection of cases to be audited. Master log sheets were also sent out for completion.

Data collection

Data collection took place between June 2008 and April 2009. Forms were checked by an audit facilitator as they came in for completeness and overall quality of data collection.

Expert review

All forms were checked independently by two consultant reviewers. When the expert reviewers were not in complete agreement – the disputed forms were further examined and an agreed decision was reached. In all cases where it was considered that transfusion could have been avoided, a final review was undertaken.

RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Fourteen hospitals participated in the audit. All hospitals audited the required number of case notes apart from one hospital which returned 57 rather than 120 forms. The final sample size was 743 out of the original 800 episodes proposed.

Demographic details

The age distribution of the patients transfused is illustrated:



Age distribution of transfused patients

The mean age of the patients was 69 years and the median age was 73 years. This is a similar age distribution to the NIRTC 2006 Audit.

The breakdown of transfused patients by gender showed more audit episodes of transfused patients who were anaemic on admission to hospital occurred in females than in males. A preponderance of females amongst transfused patients was also a finding in the previous NIRTC 2006 Audit.

Transfused patients by gender



The profile of haemoglobin concentrations on admission of this preselected group of patients is illustrated in the diagram below:



Transfused patients - Haemoglobin on hospital admission by gender

The audit showed that 60% of transfused patients were admitted under a medical speciality compared to 40% under a surgical speciality.



Transfused patients by speciality

Could transfusion have been avoided?

The audit sought to identify if opportunities had been missed to identify, investigate and treat anaemia in this group of patients who were subsequently transfused. Transfusions which did not meet the regionally agreed transfusion thresholds were also considered avoidable.

Could transfusion have been avoided?



In 25% of the audit sample transfusion could have been avoided; the reasons for are illustrated in the graph below:



How transfusion may have been avoided

Inappropriate transfusion



How transfusion may have been avoided

The inappropriate transfusion rate in the audit was 6.7% based on the regionally agreed thresholds for transfusion (see Appendix 2). This compares favourably with the figure of 19% in the NIRTC 2006 Audit.

The distribution of appropriateness of transfusion for different transfusion thresholds is shown below:



Transfusion by patient category

Of the 6.7% (50 patients) with inappropriate transfusions 52% (26 patients) occurred in asymptomatic, stable patients under 65 years of age. 26% (13 patients) of inappropriate transfusions occurred in patients over 65 years of age with no cardiac or cerebrovascular disease. Some 70% of patients receiving inappropriate transfusions in the 2006 Audit were similarly identified in these two categories.

Recommendation 1

Patients should only be considered for transfusion if their haemoglobin concentration has fallen below their transfusion threshold. Particular attention should be paid to stable patients without cardiac or cerebrovascular disease who have lower transfusion thresholds.



Anaemia not identified before hospital admission

In order to prevent transfusion associated with anaemia clinicians should have a high index of suspicion for anaemia, especially when risk factors are present. In 3.2% of cases – an obvious opportunity to detect anaemia was clearly missed:



How transfusion may have been avoided

Example

A 41 year old lady with a history of menorrhagia was admitted for hysterectomy. On admission her haemoglobin was found to be 7.3g/dl, MCV 68fL and MCH 19pg. She had been seen pre-operatively by medical staff but her blood count had not been taken before admission and she was on no treatment. In hospital a diagnosis of iron deficiency anaemia was made and the patient was subsequently transfused 2 units.

Failure to check the blood count was a common problem, when patients presented to either primary care or hospital out-patient clinics with ongoing low grade bleeding such as menorrhagia, bleeding haemorrhoids or haematuria. Some patients with malabsorption syndromes such as those with coeliac disease were also reviewed in out patient clinics without a blood count being checked until they became symptomatic and needed urgent transfusion. In almost all of these cases of chronic low grade bleeding and malabsorption – iron deficiency anaemia had developed, which if identified and treated earlier could have obviated the need for transfusion.

Recommendation 2

Healthcare professionals should have a high index of suspicion to check for correctable anaemia in patients with low grade chronic bleeding and malabsorption syndromes.



Anaemia identified but not investigated or treated before hospital admission

In this group of patients a healthcare professional checked the blood count but the low haemoglobin result was not acted upon, to either identify the underlying cause or instigate corrective treatment. This was the largest group of patients identified (9.8%) where transfusion could have been avoided.



How transfusion may have been avoided

Example

A 63 year old man with cardiac disease was seen at a Urology out patient clinic for haematuria. His haemoglobin was checked and found to be 9.2 g/dl with MCV 75fL. No further investigations were undertaken and no treatment was started for the anaemia. 4 months later the patient was admitted in left ventricular failure with a haemoglobin of 7.8g/dl, MCV 65fL, MCH 19pg and ferritin 12ng/ml – all indicating severe iron deficiency anaemia. The patient was transfused 3 units of red blood cells and his Hb was 10.5g/dl on discharge.

The patient was discharged without iron therapy and when reviewed as an outpatient some three months later – he was still iron deficient. Only then was he commenced on oral iron therapy.

This group of patients commonly suffered from chronic low grade bleeding, malabsorption or nutritional deficiency. There were examples of transfused patients with bowel cancer presenting at primary care with tiredness, found to be anaemic, referred to a hospital outpatient clinic, underwent endoscopy with positive diagnosis and were later admitted for surgery. Over this 6 to 8 week period iron deficiency anaemia was neither assessed nor treated with iron replacement to potentially avoid transfusion. Transfused patients with haematuria and menorrhagia had a similar chronicle of events. There were several examples where patients were followed up over months prior to surgery when the anaemia was neither appropriately investigated nor treated.

Transfused medical patients with malabsorption syndromes, inflammatory bowel disease or nutritional insufficiency frequently had anaemia noted and medical efforts were primarily focused on correcting the underlying disease without treating the haematinic deficiency.

Recommendation 3

Anaemia is often the first sign of an underlying disease process which needs investigation and treatment. Anaemia should be investigated for simple haematinic deficiencies which can be treated in parallel with the investigation and treatment of the underlying cause. Anaemia identified and investigated but not treated

In the final category of transfused patients the cause of the anaemia had been investigated but appropriate treatment had not been administered.



How transfusion may have been avoided

Some 5.2% of the patients in the audit were in this category.

Example

A 24 year old female had several inpatient admissions where microcytic anaemia had been identified. Iron was prescribed but the patient did not comply and when she was admitted for major surgery - her haemoglobin was 8g/dl, MCV 67fL and MCH 19pg – all consistent with severe iron deficiency anaemia. The patient was transfused 3 units of red blood cells peri-operatively.

There were several reasons why an anaemia already identified and investigated was not treated. Reasons included failure to prescribe haematinic treatment and patient non compliance.

Recommendation 4

Healthcare professionals have a duty of care to anaemic patients with a clear underlying deficiency to ensure that they receive the appropriate haematinic medication to treat their anaemia and to avoid transfusion. If the oral route cannot be used then parenteral therapy should be considered.

Areas for practice improvement

Opportunity existed within all hospitals and all major admitting specialities to avoid transfusing patients:



Avoidable transfusion by hospital

Avoidable transfusion by admitting speciality



Professionals in primary care also had many opportunities to identify, investigate and treat patients before their hospital admission and subsequent transfusion.

Recommendation 5

Education on strategies to prevent avoidable transfusion should be conducted regionally across all areas of care

Overtransfusion

Overtransfusion is defined as transfusion to a haemoglobin value more than 2.0g/dl above a patient's transfusion threshold for that episode. This was identified in 16 % of transfused patients:

Occurrence of overtransfusion

84%

This graph below illustrates the extent of overtransfusion:



Rise in haemoglobin above transfusion threshold in overtransfused patients

Overtransfusion occurred most often following a transfusion of 2 units of red cells, suggesting that sometimes a single unit transfusion is sufficient.



Number of units transfused in overtranfused patients

The relative incidence of overtransfusion was highest in the lower transfusion threshold groups ranging from 58% in the lowest group, to less than 8% in the highest threshold group.



Overtranfused by transfusion threshold

Recommendation 6

Overtransfusion should be avoided. When deciding on the number of units to transfuse, consideration should be given to the transfusion threshold of the patient, the size of the patient and whether or not significant active bleeding is present. Single unit transfusions may be appropriate in some cases.

Referral to a specialist for investigation of anaemia

Example

A 77 year old lady was pre-assessed before a hip replacement and found to be anaemic with a haemoglobin of 10.1g/dl, MCV 84.8fL and ferritin 11.0ng/ ml, indicating iron deficiency. She was admitted to hospital 7 weeks later with haemoglobin of 10.3g/dl and was transfused a single unit of red cells in the perioperative period. She was discharged two days after transfusion and there was no documented letter or note of either any ongoing investigations or referral to a specialist for followup of the iron deficiency anaemia.

When patients are discovered to have a previously undiagnosed anaemia – particularly when it is caused by an underlying iron deficiency – they should be referred to a specialist for investigation of the underlying disease process. This referral should be clearly documented in the notes or the reason for not doing so clearly outlined. The breakdown of referral documentation is illustrated below:



Documented referral to specialist for investigation of anaemia

32% of patients had a specialist referral clearly documented and another 32.5% had a known cause for the anaemia. In 16.5% of cases - investigations were not considered to be in the patient's best interest. In 19% of cases a specialist referral was not documented in the notes.

Recommendation 7

Healthcare Professionals should ensure that all patients with unexplained anaemia are investigated for an underlying cause unless it is not in the patient's best interest to do so.

DISCUSSION

Limitations of this audit include the fact that patients who were not anaemic on admission and subsequently transfused were excluded, only one transfusion episode per patient was audited, considerable case note unavailability interfered with successive case note auditing in several hospitals and transfusion episodes in the smaller hospitals were overrepresented – all of which may have introduced some bias. Also in terms of the overall management of patients with anaemia the audit only represents a sample of anaemic patients who were subsequently transfused. It does not consider patients who were found to be anaemic, were appropriately investigated, treated and not transfused.

While the audit populations in the NIRTC 2006 Audit into the Appropriateness of Blood Transfusion and the current audit are not the same populations because of the particular inclusion nature of the audits – they have some demographic similarities as evidenced by patient age distribution and gender mix. It is noted inappropriate transfusion has decreased from 19% in the 2006 audit to 6.7% in this current audit and likewise overtransfusion has decreased from 29% to 16%. This suggests a considerable improvement in clinical practice since the NIRTC 2006 Audit was published and further evidence for this is the marked reduction in the number of red cells issued regionally as illustrated below:



12 Monthly issue of red cells in Northern Ireland (Moving average)

Red cell issues decreased by over 15% from 2005 to 2008 and this transfusion index now remains steady between 30 to 31 units per 1000 of the population.

Northern Ireland as a developed healthcare system within the European Union now has a very restrictive transfusion regime with a transfusion index 15% below the EU average. However additional improvement is possible and this audit report marks out scope for further reduction in red cell transfusion by the appropriate identification, investigation and treatment of anaemia before transfusion is necessary.

The detailed action plan on the following pages provides a framework for doing this.

ACTION PLAN

Statement of intent

"It is a duty of care for healthcare professionals to have an awareness of anaemia and its investigation and management in their patients to avoid transfusion and its possible complications"

- The results of the audit should be widely distributed to all Trusts and healthcare professionals
 Action: NI Regional Transfusion Committee and Lead Audit Group
- An educational presentation of this audit should be made available to all Hospital Transfusion Committees and Haemovigilance Practitioners
 Action: NI Regional Transfusion Committee and Lead Audit Group
- A regional education study day on anaemia should be organised to highlight the issues in this audit

Action: NI Regional Transfusion Committee

- The investigation and treatment of anaemia should be part of the training of Healthcare Professionals.
 Actions: Trusts, Hospital Transfusion Committees, Haemovigilance Practitioners and Medical Educators.
- Healthcare professionals should have increased awareness of iron deficiency anaemia in at risk patient groups such as patients with bowel cancer, haematuria, menorrhagia, inflammatory bowel disease and those with nutritional deficiencies.
 Action: NI Regional Transfusion Committee, Haemovigilance Practitioners, Hospital Transfusion Committees

- A regional pathway for the initial investigation and treatment of anaemia should be developed and made available to all healthcare professionals
 Action: NI Regional Transfusion Committee
- In cases of iron deficiency Usually patients should be treated with a trial of oral iron initially and reviewed after 3 weeks to check for response. When there is no response which may be due to intolerance or poor compliance – parenteral iron should be considered

Action: All healthcare professionals

- Trusts should ensure that that there are adequate arrangements for the preoperative assessment of patients. For planned surgery, the arrangements for preoperative assessment should permit the diagnosis and correction of anaemia in advance of surgery and optimisation of haemostatic function peri-operatively. Actions: Trusts and Pre-assessment Clinics
- Trusts should ensure the 2009 GAIN Guidelines for Blood Transfusion Practice are implemented and monitored.
 Actions: Trusts, Hospital Transfusion Committees and Haemovigilance Practitioners
- Trusts should regularly audit avoidable transfusion to promote improvement in practice

Actions: Trusts, Hospital Transfusion Committees and Haemovigilance Practitioners

REFERENCES

Better Use Of Blood In Northern Ireland Guidelines for Blood Transfusion Practice Guidelines and Audit Implementation Network March 2009.

Blood Conservation In Elective Orthopaedic Surgery

British Orthopaedic Association April 2005

Blood Transfusion And The Anaesthetist

Red Cell Transfusion 2 Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (2008)

Guidelines for the Management of Iron Deficiency Anaemia

British Society of Gastroenterology May 2005

Guidelines for the Use of Red Cell Transfusions

British Committee for Standards in Haematology Blood Transfusion Task Force (2001).

Handbook of Transfusion Medicine 4th Edition January 2007

Health Services Circular HSC 2002/009

Better Blood Transfusion – Appropriate use of blood

HSS Circular MD6/03

Better Blood Transfusion – Appropriate use of blood 2003

Minutes from September 2006 – September 2009

The Northern Ireland Regional Transfusion Committee

Northern Ireland Blood Issues 2003-2009

Northern Ireland Blood Transfusion Service

Perioperative Blood Transfusion for Elective Surgery

Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network 2001

Regional Appropriateness of Blood Transfusion Audit – Summary Report The Northern Ireland Regional Blood Transfusion Committee 2006

Serious Hazards of Transfusion (SHOT) reports 2007 and 2008

Worldwide Prevalence of Anaemia 1993–2005 World Health Organisation (2008)

APPENDIX 1

1. 2.	Hospital Code Number:	I a second and a second second	
2.		ital and will remain the same for the entire data	collection period.
1	Patient Code Number: his number must be an individual identifie ater date if required for peer review. At th	code number that is generated so that the char e conclusion of the audit the master record will	t can be traced at a be destroyed
3.	Date of red cell transfusion: Actual date patient received blood		
4.	Gender: 🗌 Male	Female	
5.	Speciality patient admitted under: the admitting speciality the patient comes r	under e.g. medicine/surgery	Enter
6.	Patient age: QOO Years At time of transfusion		
7,	Patient postal code: B T 1 5 3	A B	
8.	Admission date: Day Month Ye Date of the admission to hospital in the	at admission episode that the patient was transfuse	d
9.	Admitting condition/diagnosis/reason Usually found in the doctors or nurses a	for admission: admission notes e.g. Pneumonia, Heart Failure, i	Knee surgery etc
10.	Co-Morbidity (Please tick relevant box All diseases the patient may have on admi condition)	x/boxes as indicated): Ission – can be found on the admission notes (in	clude admitting
	Cardiac	Cerebrovascular	Arthritic Disease
	Peripheral vascular disease	Character Character	C Renal Impairmen
	Hypertension	Airways Disease	Liver Impairmen
	Inflammatory Bowel Disease Other Gastrointestinal Diseases	Bowel Cancer Patient on Aspirin / NSAIDS	Coeliac Disease
	Current Chemotherapy, Radiothe	erapy or Marrow failure (or treatment in last	3 months)
	Other morbidities, please specify	Y	

transfusion episode? (Date from referral letter etc) GP Or A&E Day Month Year Can't Tell Other presentation (Detail) If no GP or A/E Presentation tick box and go to Q.15 I. Initial Blood Results at GP /A&E Use notes or lab computer to check earliest blood result up to 1 week from date seen in Q11) Not available – outside referral
GP or A&E Day Month Year Can't Tell Other presentation (Detail) If no GP or A/E Presentation tick box and go to Q.15 12. Initial Blood Results at GP /A&E None performed Hb Image: Detail of the second in Q11 of the second i
Other presentation (Detail) If no GP or A/E Presentation tick box and go to Q.15 12. Initial Blood Results at GP /A&E None performed Use notes or lab computer to check earliest blood Hb g/dl result up to 1 week from date seen in Q11) MCV MCH Not available – outside referral Not available – outside referral
12. Initial Blood Results at GP /A&E None performed Use notes or lab computer to check earliest blood Hb g/dl result up to 1 week from date seen in Q11) MCV mCH Not available – outside referral Not available Not available
12. Initial Blood Results at GP /A&E None performed Use notes or lab computer to check earliest blood Hb result up to 1 week from date seen in Q11) MCV MCH
Not available – outside referral
13. Other relevant tests performed and Results None performed
Iron
Ferritin Coeliac Serology
Bad Call Falara
Serum B ₁₂ Other
None Can't Tell Other list below
Oral Iron Vitamin B12
I.V. Iron 🗌 Folic Acid
Vitamin C Erythropoietin

			All <u>Ou</u>	tpatier	it and	Pre-ass	sessme	<u>nt</u> reviev	rs within 6	months of t	ransfi	usion	date			
			Tests (enter values please)						Trea	atment	s (plea	se tick)				
maniality	Days before	Did patient	U.S.	MOV	Inter	Damitin	Serum	Red Cell	Same D12	Documented	Oral	IV	Vitamin	Vitamin	Folic	Erythro
peciatity	Transfusion	acterio :	110	MCY	1100	remun	rotate	Totale	Seruit B12	as anachite?	100	1100	-	014	74610	pocia
										_						
0		0 33	-	1 2		26 - R			9	2 8	1.0				2 12	<i>0</i> .
_			-		-	07 - 18 1					1	-	-			7
			-			5 - 5			-	-			-			
		12 - 33	-	1 1	- 11	0 0			22	3 - 33	0 - 8		-		2 - 12	0
	<u> </u>		-		1	2 2					1.1	- 1	-	-	-	-
	16. 17,	Was there a the information of the Additional	a miss ation a notes	ed oppo bove? / comm	ortunit ients c	y to ide	ntify / t	reat the j	vatients and Yes	aemia before	hospi Uns	ital ad ure (Imission	ı from		
	16. 17,	Was there in the information of	a misse ation a notes	ed oppo bove? / comm	ortunit nents c	y to ide	ntify / t	reat the j	vatients ani Yes ⊂	semia before	hospi Uns	ital ad ure (Imission	ı from		
	16.	Was there a the information of t	a misso ation a notes	ed oppo bove? / comm	ortunit	y to ide in abovi Pa	ntify/t e:	reat the p	ves ⊂	semia before	hospi Uns	ital ad ure C	Imission	ı from		
	16, 17, 18,	Was there : the informa Additional Compliance Good Poor Can't tel	a missa ation a notes . e of pa	ed oppo bove? / comm	ortunit nents c o treata	y to ide on abow Pa ment fro	ntify / t e: atient om note	t Influ	ves Yes nences	aemia before No	hospi Uns	ure C	tmissior	i from		
	16, 17, 18, 19,	Was there is the information Additional Additional Compliance Good Poor Can't tel If non Com Intoleran Non com Can't tel Other	a misso ation a notes . e of pa pliant apliant I	ed opp bove? / comm atient to se – rea al med	ortunit oents c o treats icatior	y to ide in abow Pa ment fro Not App	ntify / t e: atien1 om note olicable	t Influ	vatients and Yes	semia before No mmedical/nu	hospi Uns	ure C	te)	i from		
	16, 17, 18, 19,	Was there a the informa Additional Additional Complianc Good Poor Can't tel If non Com Intoleran Non com Can't tel Other	a misso ation a notes e of pa plianc t of or npliant I	ed opp bove? / comm utient to se – rea al med	ortunit nents c) treats ; sons: icatior	y to ide in abow Pa ment fro Not App	atient mify / t e: atient om note	t Influ	vatients and Yes	semia before No mmedical/nu	hospi Uns	ure C	tc)	i from		

	ary of Pre Hospital Diagnos	is
21. What was the diagnosed cau	ise of the anaemia before the patient was adn	nitted to hospital
	Iron deficiency anaemia Anaemia of chronic disease Megaloblastic Anaemia Active Bleeding Haemolytic anaemia Other known cause of anaemia Unknown cause	
	Not Investigated Not Anaemic before hospital	
22. Other relevant information (Other tests, compliance issues etc)	
23. Route of admission	GP A&E From Outpatient Clinic Transfer from another hospital Outpatient transfusion	
24. Results on admission to hos This should be the haemogle hospital or the first haemogle	pital: bin result from the Full Blood Count done on the obin done in the hospital – use lab computers if o Haemoglobin MCV on admission:	day the patient was admitted to esult is not in notes.

26. What treatments	was the patient on admissio	n to hospital		
None		59		Other list below
Oral Iron		Vitamin Bra		
LV. Iron		Folic Acid		
Vitamin C	n	Erythropoietin		
Thanni C		Liyunopoieun	0	
27. If the cause of th	e anaemia was either not es	tablished or uncerta	in - were appr	opriate further
investigations of	this anaemia carried out be	fore transfusion?	D.	scultz
CHECK HOUES OF	a an company		R.	-Sulla
Low MCV	Iron			
	Ferritin			
	Coeliac Serology			
Normal MCV	Blood film examined			
ELECTRONIC DEPARTMENT	Iron			
	Ferritin			
	Vitamin Bit			
	Red Cell Folate			
	Serum Folate			
	Renal Function			
	Liver Function			
	Haemolysis screen		100000000	
	Autoimmune screen		Seaton service	
	Bone marrow			
	Other			
High MCV	Vitamin B .			
ringa wite v	Samuer Folate	G		
	Bad Call Folate	ö		
	Liver Function			
	Thereid E	G		
	Thyroid Function			

	What was the final in hospital dia	gnosis of the	anaemia k	eading	to transfusion		
	Check notes / discharge notes to see	if different to	o the pre h	ospita	diagnosis)		
	Iron deficiency anaemi	a		Not Inv	estigated		
	Anaemia of chronic dis	sease		nvesti	gated but unki	nown	
	Megalobalstic Anaemi	a		Other			
	Haemolytic Anaemia		H				
	Active Bleeding		H		******		
	Surgery		0				
29	What was the range of the Haemo Highest to lowest results from lab c g/dl	globin while omputer/notes	patient was	s in ho	spital prior to	transfusion	fl to 🗆 💭 .
30	What was the MCV range during t	his time		to			
31	Additional corrections for anaemia	in hospital (tick all use	ed)			
	None				-	Othe	t list below
	Oral Iron		Vitamin B	12	9	-111	
	I.V. Iron		Folic Acid	1			
	Vitamin C		Erythropo	ictin	0		******
32	Who decided that the patien Identify the most senior person involv Speciality: (if known)	t was to ha ed in this decis	ve the bl	ood to e docur of doc	ransfusion? mented notes :tor: (if known)	Unknown
- 33	This is the date in the notes that the was a decision made to give blood	re	Day M	lonth	Year		Unknown
34	Documented reason for transfusion Documented	n request	(From Note	ca)			Not
	Anaemia	Bleeding	1				
	Symptomatic	Other (P	lease State)			in the state of the state	e deconcensi da da d
35.	Patients Transfusion Threshold Based on NIRTC guidelines		(g/dl		
36	Pre-transfusion haemoglobin: This should be the haemoglobin imi documented you may need to use la haemoglobin result.	nediately (or c b computer. F	(losest) prio or outpaties	r to the	g/dl patient being t the most recent	ransfused – pre-transfu	(f nat sion
	Date of this haemoglobin result Date of above result		Day M	lonth	Year		
37.			Yes 1	No			
37	Was this an appropriate transfusion Based on NIRTC thresholds	n2					

First haemoglobin date transfusion 41. Date of this haemoglobin result bay 42. Did the haemoglobin after transfusion suggest an overtransfusion? (i.e. more than 2g/dl above the Transfusion Threshold in Q. 38?) Yes Ne 43. Total number of units transfused on this admission 44. Medicines on discharge Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Not Known Not Discharged 43. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 44. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 45. Haemoglobin of unstransfusion or discharge above investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious sourcemina id entified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	First haemoglobin done difer transfusion 1. Date of this haemoglobin result 2. Did the haemoglobin after transfusion suggest an overtransfusion? (<i>i.e. more than 2gidl above the Transfusion Threshold in Q. 38</i> ?) Yes 2. Total number of units transfused on this admission
41. Date of this haemoglobin result Day Month Year 42. Did the haemoglobin after transfusion suggest an overtransfusion? (i.e. more than 2g/dl above the Transfusion Threshold in Q. 38?) 43. Total number of units transfused on this admission Units 44. Medicines on discharge Ioni Iron Did ic acid Not Niown Did ic acid Not Discharged g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of discharge Dig Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions) (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	41. Date of this haemoglobin result Day Month Year 42. Did the haemoglobin after transfusion suggest an overtransfusion? (<i>i.e. more than 2g/dl above the Transfusion Threshold in Q. 38</i>) Yes No 43. Total number of units transfused on this admission
42. Did the haemoglobin after transfusion suggest an overtransfusion? Yes No 43. Total number of units transfused on this admission	42. Did the haemoglobin after transfusion suggest an overtransfusion? yes No 43. Total number of units transfused on this admission
 43. Total number of units transfused on this admission 44. Medicines on discharge Iron Vitamin B12 Polic acid Polic acid Not Known Not Discharged 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (elosent result to discharge date) Day Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions: (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (abvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states) 	43. Total number of units transfused on this admission
44. Medicines on discharge Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Yitamin B12 Folic acid Iron Polic acid Iron Iron Not Known Iron Iron Not Discharged Iron Iron 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closen result to discharge date) 46. Date of discharge Iron Iron A7. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice <i>(fumare - see best practice guidance with instructions)</i> Yes – referral documented in notes No indication (abvious source of anaemia identified) Iron No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Iron Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states) Iron	44. Medicines on discharge Iron Iron Iron Iron Iron Vitamin B12 Iron Iron Folic acid Iron Iron Not Known Iron Iron Iron Not Discharged Iron Iron Iron 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of discharge Iron Iron Iron 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Iron
 distributive of calculating in the initial interests of the patient is of t	 Iron
Viamin B12 Folic acid Not Known Not Discharged 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of discharge	Vitamin B12 Folic acid Not Known Not Discharged 45. Haemoglobin at dischargeg/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of dischargeg/dl (closest result to discharge date) 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions) Yes – referral documented in notes
Folic acid Not Known Not Discharged 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of discharge Bay Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions) Yes – referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	Folic acid
Not Known	Not Known
Not Discharged	Not Discharged 45. Haemoglobin at discharge g/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of discharge 9. Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions: Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)
 45. Haemoglobin at dischargeg/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of dischargeDy Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice. (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (abvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations congoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states) 	 45. Haemoglobin at dischargeg/dl (closest result to discharge date) 46. Date of dischargeDay Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions; (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes
46. Date of discharge Day Month Year 47. Nas there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions: (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	46. Date of discharge Day Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (abvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)
 46. Date of discharge Day Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice guidance with instructions) (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes Or indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Carlet appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states) 	 46. Date of discharge Day Month Year 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes ON on indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out OR Carried OR C
47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some servere chronic/ terminal disease states)	 47. Was there either a referral to a specialist for full investigation of anaemia (e.g. to a specialist GI physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (abvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)
physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (<i>If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions</i>) Yes – referral documented in notes No indication (<i>obvious source of anaemia identified</i>) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (<i>e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states</i>)	physician / surgeon / haematologist) or were investigations carried out locally as per as per best practice (<i>If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions</i>) Yes – referral documented in notes No indication (<i>obvious source of anaemia identified</i>) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (<i>e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states</i>)
(If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	(If unsure - see best practice guidance with instructions) Yes - referral documented in notes No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)
Yes – referral documented in notes No indication (abvious source of anaemia identified) No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	Yes – referral documented in notes
No indication (obvious source of anaemia identified)	No indication (<i>abvious source of anaemia identified</i>) No referral or investigations ongoing or curried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)
No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	No referral or investigations ongoing or carried out
Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	Referral appears not to be in clinical interests of the patient (e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)
(e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)	(e.g. in some severe chronic/ terminal disease states)

	GP or A & F	Out Patient Reviews	Hospital
generative of the second s	GIGIAGE		Tiospital
Seen by Above	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
	next column>	next column>	
FBC Check	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Patient known to be Anaemic at this	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
time from either history or results	If no to above	If no to above	
	go to next column>	go to next column>	
Cause of Anaemia Investigated	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Diagnosis Already Known	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Blood Investigations	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Specialist Referral	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Cause of Anaemia Diagnosed	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Diagnosis Already Known	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
Diagnosis made (if none write None)			
Anaemia Appropriately Treated	Yes / No	Yes / No	Yes / No
 49. In your opinion could transfus Consider both better optimisat 50. Explain how you feel transfus Inappropriate Tran Anaemia not identi Anaemia identified Anaemia identified Other 	sion have been avoided in <i>ion and avoidance of inappro</i> ion may have been avoide isfusion ified before admission d but not adequately inves d and investigated but not	this patient <i>opriate transfusion</i> Ye d: Ligated (or treated) udequately treated	s
51. Comments/Discrepancies No Please record below any discr notes and acted upon, results o	oted: epancies / incidents noted du rvailable but not acted upon,	ring data collection i.e. incorrect r multiple transfusions with correcte	esults recorded in able cause etc.

APPENDIX 2

Stable Patients	Transfusion Threshold
< 65 years old with no cardiovascular or cerebrovascular problems.	Usually only consider transfusion when Hb < 7g/dl
> 65 years old with no cardiovascular or cerebrovascular problems.	Usually only consider transfusion when Hb < 8g/dl
Known cardiovascular or cerebrovascular history (previous myocardial infarction, angina, hypertension, heart failure, peripheral vascular disease pulmonary oedema).	Usually only consider transfusion when Hb < 9g/dl

Patients with symptoms due to anaemia Unstable patients bleeding heavily Impaired marrow function	Transfusion Threshold
Symptoms (dyspnoea, angina, palpitations, tachycardia, orthostatic hypotension, syncope) likely to be due to the anaemia.	Consider transfusion when Hb < 10g/dl
Note - Tiredness alone is not an appropriate syn	nptom for transfusion
Documented/obvious evidence of ongoing significant bleeding at time of transfusion causing symptoms as above or bleeding more than 500ml per hour and not stopping.	Consider transfusion when Hb < 10g/dl
Current or recent (within 3 months) marrow failure or chemotherapy or radiotherapy.	Consider transfusion when Hb < 10g/dl

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Northern Ireland Regional Transfusion Committee (NIRTC) Project Lead Audit Group

Dr Damien Carson	Project Lead	
Dr Kieran Morris	Assistant Dusingto Lando	
Shirley Murray	Assistant Projects Leads	
Professor Mike Murphy	External expert guidance and review	
Dr Susan Atkinson	Mary Dornan	Kenneth McLoughlin
Dr Norman Chestnutt	Dr Don Hull	Debbie Schofield
Dr Patricia Dunlop	Patricia Mackey	

Hospital Coordinators

Dr Susan Atkinson	Dr Norman Chestnutt	Dr John McCollum
Dr Maloud El Agnaf	Dr Lorraine Harrison	Dr Raymond Nethercott
Dr Pamela Bell	Dr John Harty	Dr John O'Hanlon
Dr Philip Burnside	Dr Don Hull	Dr Philip Windrum

Other members of the NIRTC who from September 2006 have supported, endorsed and contributed to the discussion of the project.

	1 1	
Catriona Briers	Veronica McBride	Dr Joanne Murdock
Doris Campbell	Áine McCartney	Bronagh O'Neill
Karen Carter	Dr Morris McClelland	Lorna Palmer
Sinead Carty	Mary McFadden	Mairead Richmond
Maureen Entwistle	Tom McFarland	Audrey Savage
Alison Geddis	Geraldine McIlwaine	Maureen Spence
Geoff Geddis	Emma McLoughlin	Maree Stewart
Dr Ghandhi	Patricia McMillan	Eileen Taylor
Matthew Hackett	Mary P McNichol	Ruth Thompson
David Hamilton	Dr Liz Mitchell	Vicky Toner
Dr Frank Jones	Josephine Monaghan	Patricia Watt
Fionnuala Lennon	Dr Curly Morris	Dr John Williams
John Madden	Tom Morton	Dr Paddy Woods
Roy Marshall	Aaron Mullan	John Wylie

Audit Facilitation and Support at the Ulster HospitalJulie HallAudit AssistanceClaire RobinsonMain data entry and analysisDebbie SchofieldAudit Facilitation Lead

Data Collectors Dr Susan Atkinson Lois Curran Mary Dornan Patricia Devlin Dr Patricia Dunlop Mary P McNicholl

Raymond Haffey Dr Lorraine Harrison Patricia Mackey Frances McAuley Áine McCartney Shirley Murray Shelley-Anne Porter Bronagh Totten Gail Watson Matthew Hackett

Also many thanks to the medical record departments in all the participating hospitals for facilitating the case note retrieval.

NOTES



Copies of this Audit report may be obtained from the GAIN Office

GAIN Office DHSSPS Room C4.17 Castle Buildings Stormont BELFAST BT4 3SQ Telephone: 028 9052 0629 Email: gain@dhsspsni.gov.uk

Alternatively you may visit the GAIN website at: www.gain-ni.org

ISBN: 978-1-906805-10-4